

054900-02661069 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231 6PEnhorn

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	•	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
ग्रेश्रास्य स्वाहर	V2/04/35	SUMATZBERG	A	054900-02660
	•			

HM42/1001

GREGORY P SINHORN TOWNSEND & TOWNSEND AND CREW TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER .

STH FLOOR

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or. proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Response Due_

•	O9/244,457	Applicant(s	Schatzberg	et al
Office Action Summary	Examiner William R. A. Jarvis		Group Art Unit 1614	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on		_		·
☐ This action is FINAL.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance exc in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quaylo	cept for formal matters e, 1935 C.D. 11; 453	, prosecuti O.G. 213.	on as to the mer	its is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. A application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). E 37 CFR 1.136(a).	Failure to respond with	in the perio	id for response v	vill cause the
Disposition of Claims				
		is/are	pending in the a	ipplication.
Of the above, claim(s)		is/are v	vithdrawn from (consideration.
Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
		<u>.</u>	is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)				ο,
☐ Claims	are subjec	ct to restric	tion or election r	equirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent II The drawing(s) filed on	isarminer: orlority under 35 U.S.Copies of the priority do rial Number) orm the International Buck priority under 35 U.S.Copies of the priority do copyright to priority under 35 U.S.Copyright to priority under 35	aminer. oproved 3. § 119(a)- cuments ha	ave been Rule 17.2(a)).	=
- SEE OFFICE ACTIO	ON ON THE FOLLOWING	PAGES		

	•	·		Schatzberg	rg et al				
	Notice of Refe			Group Art Unit		•			
			William R. A.	. Jarvis	1614	P	ege 1 of 1		
		U.s	S. PATENT DOCUMENTS						
	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAM-	IE		CLASS	SUBCLASS		
4	4,814,333	03/1989	Ravaris 514			514	255		
E	В								
-	€ 								
-					_				
E	Ε								
F	F								
	G								
ŀ	н				111				
	1					l			
Π,	J .			•					
,	к								
١,	L								
,	M					}			
		FOR	EIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS	s					
	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY	NAME		CLASS	SUBCLÁSS		
1	N						: =		
	0								
	Р								
1	a								
	R								
	s								
	т								
		NO	N-PATENT DOCUMENTS						
	DOCUMENT (Including Author, Title, Source, and Perforent Pages)								
		Drug File, abstract no. 1	993-29824.				1993		
'	u								
$\vdash +$	Piazza et al, Chemical	Abstracts, abstract no. 1	26:84478.				•		
'	v						1990		
\Box	Behl et al, Chemical Abstracts, abstract no. 126:55042.								
	Behl et al. Chemical At	ostracts, abstract no. 120	$ \mathbf{w} $ ·						
		ostracts, abstract no. 126	5:35042.				1997		
,	w ·	ostracts, abstract no. 120					1997		
	w .	ostracts, abstract no. 126					1997		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 9-95)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/244,457

Art Unit: 1614

amended by adding a host.

- Claims 1-14 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 21 have the proviso "that the patient not be suffering from Cushing's Syndrome," but do not mention a patient in the preamble of the claim. This ambiguity would be corrected if claim 1, for example, is amended, "A method of ameliorating psychosis in a patient in need thereof by administering to said patient an amount of a glucocorticoid receptor antagonist effective to ameliorate the psychosis,..." In order to be consistent with the claims 1 and 21 and for the sake of clarity, claim 14 should be similarly
 - 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

⁴ Application/Control Number: 09/244,457

Art Unit: 1614

3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ravaris (U.S.

Patent 4,814,333), van der Lely, Piazza et al, and Behl et al. Ravaris teaches that the lowering of plasma cortisol levels in patients exhibiting hypercortisolemia (i.e. by inhibiting cortisol biosynthesis) is effective at treating depression including major depression with psychotic features; see col. 1, lines 53-56 and col. 6, lines 51-66. Applicant's methods differ in that they require administering to the patient a glucocorticoid receptor antagonist such as mifepristone in order to lower the cortisol levels. However, since it was well-known at the time of applicant's invention that both cortisol synthesis inhibitors and glucocorticoid antagonists have the same effect of reducing cortisol binding to cells, the skilled artisan would have reasonably expected that a glucocorticoid receptor antagonist would also have the effect of treating depression with psychotic features. Van der Lely teaches that mifepristone reversed psychosis in patients by blocking glucocorticoid receptors. Piazza et al suggests that inhibition of endogenous glucocorticoids would be effective at reducing psychotic symptoms in humans. Behl suggests that glucocorticoid receptor antagonists such as mifepristone would be effective at reducing neuronal degeneration in Alzheimer's Disease by reducing the effects of glucocorticoids in the brain, particularly the hippocampus. Clearly, the references in combination suggest that glucocorticoid receptor antagonists such as mifepristone would be effective at ameliorating psychosis in humans. The claimed amounts and dosage regimen are obvious since it is within the skill of the artisan to determine the amount of drug and frequency of administration that provides the therapeutic effect.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/244,457

Art Unit: 1614

required by the patient while producing minimal adverse side effects. The claimed modes of administration are clearly obvious since they are conventional in the art.

4. The claimed kit for the amelioration of psychosis is obvious for the reasons *supra*. However, even if the related methods of use were patentable, the kit would not be patentable since the instructional material suggesting the intended use is not given weight in determining patentability. A kit (which is a composition in a box with instructions) is not limited to the intended use (the host may still use the kit for another purpose). Accordingly, the kit is made obvious by prior art teaching any use of a glucocorticoid receptor antagonist or a composition thereof.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examinershould be directed to William R. A. Jarvis whose telephone number is (703) 308-4613.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Cintins, can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

William R. A. Jarvis

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1614

September 30, 1999